

Seeing Through Brain Fog:

Disentangling the cognitive, physical, and mental-health sequelae of COVID-19.

Department of Physiology & Pharmacology and Department of Psychology, Western Institute for Neuroscience The University of Western Ontario, Canada





Adrian M. Owen

owenlab.org





Canada Foundation for Innovation Fondation canadienne pour l'innovation



Cell Reports Medicine

Article Disentangling the cognitive, physical, and mental health sequelae of COVID-19

Conor J. Wild,^{1,2,9,*} Loretta Norton,^{1,3} David K. Menon,⁴ David A. Ripsman,⁵ Richard H. Swartz,^{6,8} and Adrian M. Owen^{1,2,7,8}

¹Western Institute for Neuroscience, Western University, London, ON N6A 3K7, Canada ²Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, Western University, London, ON N6A 3K7, Canada ³Department of Psychology, King's University College, Western University, London, ON N6A 3K7, Canada ⁴Division of Anaesthesia, Department of Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 2QQ, UK ⁵Faculty of Medicine, Department of Neurology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 2B5, Canada ⁶Department of Medicine (Neurology), Hurvitz Brain Sciences Program, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Center, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M4N 3M5, Canada

⁷Department of Psychology, Western University, London, ON N6A 3K7, Canada ⁸Senior author

⁹Lead contact

*Correspondence: cwild@uwo.ca https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2022.100750







COVID-19 and the Brain Headaches Aches and Pains Loss of Smell

Blurred Vision Strokes Seizures Delirium

Impaired Cognition

"Brain Fog"



Neurologic Features in Severe SARS-CoV-2 Infection

June 4, 2020 N Engl J Med 2020; 382:2268-2270 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMc2008597

Metrics

Neurological and neuropsychiatric complications of **COVID-19 in 153 patients: a UK-wide surveillance study**

Aravinthan Varatharaj, Naomi Thomas, Mark A Ellul, Nicholas W S Davies, Thomas A Pollak, Elizabeth L Tenorio, Mustafa Sultan, Ava Easton, Gerome Breen, Michael Zandi, Jonathan P Coles, Hadi Manji, Rustam Al-Shahi Salman, David K Menon, Timothy R Nicholson, Laura A Benjamin, Alan Carson, Craig Smith, Martin R Turner, Tom Solomon, Rachel Kneen, Sarah L Pett, Ian Galea*, Rhys H Thomas*, Benedict D Michael*, on behalf of the CoroNerve Study Group†

🛗 Created On May 20, 2020



BBC.COM How Covid-19 can damage the brain Some scientists suspect that Covid-19 causes respiratory failure...

June 22, 2020

The New York Times

Some Coronavirus Patients Show Signs of **Brain Ailments**

Doctors have observed neurological symptoms, including confusion, stroke and seizures, in a small subset of Covid-19 patients.

April 2, 2020

Scientists warn of potential wave of COVID-linked brain damage

Neuroscientists and specialist brain doctors say emerging evidence of its impact on the brain is concerning



Reuters Kate Kelland

July 9, 2020





COVID-19 and the Brain

Direct Effects

Indirect Effects Inflammation Blood Clots Low Oxygen Levels Sedation Ventilator ICU

nature SARS-CoV-2 is associated with changes in brain structure in UK Biobank

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04569-5

Received: 19 August 2021

Accepted: 21 February 2022

Published online: 7 March 2022

Open access

Check for updates

Gwenaëlle Douaud^{1™}, Soojin Lee¹, Fidel Alfaro-Almagro¹, Christoph Arthofer¹, Chaoyue Wang¹, Paul McCarthy¹, Frederik Lange¹, Jesper L. R. Andersson¹, Ludovica Griffanti^{1,2}, Eugene Duff^{1,3}, Saad Jbabdi¹, Bernd Taschler¹, Peter Keating⁴, Anderson M. Winkler⁵, Rory Collins⁶, Paul M. Matthews⁷, Naomi Allen⁶, Karla L. Miller¹, Thomas E. Nichols⁸ & Stephen M. Smith¹

There is strong evidence of brain-related abnormalities in COVID-19¹⁻¹³. However, it remains unknown whether the impact of SARS-CoV-2 infection can be detected in milder cases, and whether this can reveal possible mechanisms contributing to brain pathology. Here we investigated brain changes in 785 participants of UK Biobank (aged 51-81 years) who were imaged twice using magnetic resonance imaging, including 401 cases who tested positive for infection with SARS-CoV-2 between their two scans—with 141 days on average separating their diagnosis and the second scan as well as 384 controls. The availability of pre-infection imaging data reduces the likelihood of pre-existing risk factors being misinterpreted as disease effects. We identified significant longitudinal effects when comparing the two groups, including (1) a greater reduction in grey matter thickness and tissue contrast in the orbitofrontal cortex and parahippocampal gyrus; (2) greater changes in markers of tissue damage in regions that are functionally connected to the primary olfactory cortex; and (3) a greater reduction in global brain size in the SARS-CoV-2 cases. The participants who were infected with SARS-CoV-2 also showed on average a greater cognitive decline between the two time points. Importantly, these imaging and cognitive longitudinal effects were still observed after excluding the 15 patients who had been hospitalised. These mainly limbic brain imaging results may be the in vivo hallmarks of a degenerative spread of the disease through olfactory pathways, of neuroinflammatory events, or of the loss of sensory input due to anosmia. Whether this deleterious effect can be partially reversed, or whether these effects will persist in the long term, remains to be investigated with additional follow-up.

Douaud *et al.*, 2022





The Problem

There are now more than 630 million people in the world who have had COVID-19 and 4.3 million in Canada alone. Even if only a small proportion of these individuals go on to develop cognitive problems and/or mental health issues, the result will create an enormous economic and societal burden.

1988 - 2022 The Evolution of Computerised Cognitive Testing

1988





2022



	Mind Health As	ssessment				
	Complete four scientifically-valid tests of brain function					
	INSTRUCTIONS	4 tests // 15 minutes				
	 Turn off your phone and avoid any other distractions to ensure the results are as accurate as possible 					
	2 - Carefully watch the instructions videos to familiarize yourself with the tests					
9	the practice rounds and ensure that you are	- DA-				
CAMBRIDGE BRAIN SCIENCES	answer several puzzles correctly before g to the actual assessment			м	ind health report	
Spatial Patred Token Feature Planning Associates Search Match	START ASSESSMENT			Friday, Sep Tec // 5 th Ann EST	CLASSIFICATION CRITEIRA 2 55% - Superior 80 - 94% - Excelent 60 - 75% - High Average	COMPARATIVE GROUP
Paired Associates Instructions				CLIENT ID: 476323	41 - 59% Average 21% - 40% - Low Average 6% - 20% - Poor ≤ 5% - Very Poor	Age range: 45-55
erent objects will flash momentarily within the boxes. afully observe which box each object is in. Then, when that ct flashes again in the middle of the screen, click on the				WORKING MEMORY		
Play instructions	,			RAW SCORE	PERCI	INTILE RANK
sweeing correctly will result in the formation of the puzzle.	K			19		
s not time-based.				EXECUTIVE FUNCTION	N	
Watch Video Demonstration				RAW SCORE	PERCE	INTILE RANK
BEGIN PRACTICE ROUND				22		
\bigcirc					\bigcirc	

Radial Arm Maze



Token Search Test





Performance of these tests is sensitive to specific types of *brain* damage



Owen et al., 1990; 1992; 1992; 1993; 1995; 1996.





Performance of these tests activates specific *networks* in the brain



Owen et al., 1996; 1997; 1998; 1999; 2000









CAMBRIDGE **BRAIN SCIENCES**

12 short tasks measuring core aspects of cognition, such as working memory, attention, planning, reasoning, decision-making, problem-solving and verbal abilities.

Key Highlights

- Over 10 million tests completed globally \checkmark
- Low cost and high convenience (1.5 3 mins per task) \checkmark
- Large normative database (75,000), broken down by age for \checkmark comparative purposes
- Web-based data correlates highly with lab-based testing \checkmark
- Test-retest reliability is excellent \checkmark
- \checkmark 300+ peer-reviewed behavioural and neuroimaging studies in neuropsychological and neurodegenerative populations.



ODD ONE OUT (REASONING)



Nature 2010 (n = 11, 430)

Vol 465 10 June 2010 doi:10.1038/nature09042

nature

_ETTERS

Putting brain training to the test

Adrian M. Owen¹, Adam Hampshire¹, Jessica A. Grahn¹, Robert Stenton², Said Dajani², Alistair S. Burns³, Robert J. Howard² & Clive G. Ballard²

'Brain training', or the goal of improved cognitive function through the regular use of computerized tests, is a multimillionpound industry¹, yet in our view scientific evidence to support its efficacy is lacking. Modest effects have been reported in some studies of older individuals^{2,3} and preschool children⁴, and video-game players outperform non-players on some tests of visual attention⁵. However, the widely held belief that commercially available computerized brain-training programs improve general cognitive function in the wider population in our opinion lacks empirical support. The central question is not whether performance on cognitive tests can be improved by training, but rather, whether those benefits transfer to other untrained tasks or lead to any general improvement in the level of cognitive functioning. Here we report the results of a six-week online study in which 11,430 participants trained several times each week on cognitive tasks designed to improve reasoning, memory, planning, visuospatial skills and attention. Although improvements were observed in every one of the cognitive tasks that were trained, no evidence was found for transfer effects to untrained tasks, even when those tasks were cognitively closely related.

To investigate whether regular brain training leads to any improvement in cognitive function, viewers of the BBC popular To investigate whether regular brain training leads to any male = 5.5:1, 5.6:1 at

when those tasks were cognitively closely related.

no evidence was found for transfer effects to untrained tasks, even observed in every one of the cognitive tasks that were trained, completed 24.47

broader range of cognit term memory, attentic similar to those comm training devices. The d participants improved formance and maximiz did not formally pract 'training' sessions, but a categories using any ava marking assessment was were compared. The di measure of generalized ing. Similarly, for each compared to give a mea

Of 52,617 participan completed both bench training sessions during completed 24.47 (s.d. sessions). The three gro 39.65 (11.83), 40.51 male = 5.5:1, 5.6:1 and

Numerically, experi 39.65 (11.83), 40.5

Neuron Article

Fractionating Human Intelligence

Adam Hampshire,^{1,*} Roger R. Highfield,² Beth L. Parkin,¹ and Adrian M. Owen¹ ¹The Brain and Mind Institute, The Natural Sciences Centre, Department of Psychology, The University of Western Ontario, London ON, N6A 5B7, Canada ²Science Museum, Exhibition Road, London SW72DD, UK *Correspondence: ahampshi@uwo.ca http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.06.022

SUMMARY

as opposed to a bias in testing components of a more complex What makes one person more intellectually able 1981; Horn and Cattell, 1966: Ma than another? Can the entire distribution of human the past 100 years, there has bee intelligence be accounted for by just one general general intelligence is unitary or o (Carroll, 1993; Cattell, 1949; Catt factor? Is intelligence supported by a single neural and Bouchard, 2005). This debate system? Here, we provide a perspective on human that test measures tend to form intelligence that takes into account how general combined with the intractability of abilities or "factors" reflect the functional organizasure individual cognitive process tion of the brain. By comparing factor models of complex set of factors contribute individual differences in performance with factor (Carroll, 1993). models of brain functional organization, we demon-Defining the biological basis strate that different components of intelligence challenge, however, due in part t have their analogs in distinct brain networks. Using factor analyses. More specifically simulations based on neuroimaging data, we show do not provide an unambiguous r that the higher-order factor "g" is accounted for tive architecture, as the factors being measured indirectly by e by cognitive tasks corecruiting multiple networks. from correlations between the p Finally, we confirm the independence of these comferent tests. Thus, for a given set o ponents of intelligence by dissociating them using are many factor solutions of varyi questionnaire variables. We propose that intelliof which are equally able to accou aence is an emeraent property of anatomically of which are equally able to account questionnaire variables. We propose that intelliare many factor solutions of var ponents of intelligence by dissociating them using terent tests. Thus, for a given set Finally, we confirm the independence of these cor by cognitive tasks corecruiting multiple networks. Deing measured indirectly by



Neuron 2012 (n = 44,600)



Sleep 2018 (n = 10,000)

Sleep Research Societv®

SLEEPJ, 2018, 1-11

doi: 10.1093/sleep/zsy182 Advance Access publication Date: 13 September 2018 Original Article

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Dissociable effects of self-reported daily sleep duration on high-level cognitive abilities

Conor J. Wild^{1,*,}, Emily S. Nichols¹, Michael E. Battista², Bobby Stojanoski¹ and Adrian M. Owen^{1,2}

¹The Brain and Mind Institute, Western University, London, ON, Canada and ²Department of Psychology, Western University, London, ON, Canada

*Corresponding author. Conor J. Wild, The Brain and Mind Institute, Western University, London, ON N6C 5B7, Canada. Email: cwild@uwo.ca.

Abstract

Most people will at some point experience not getting enough sleep over a period of days, weeks, or months. However, the effects of this kind of everyday sleep restriction on high-level cognitive abilities—such as the ability to store and recall information in memory, solve problems, and communicate—remain poorly understood. In a global sample of over 10000 people, we demonstrated that cognitive performance, measured using a set of 12 well-established tests, is impaired in people who reported typically sleeping less, or more, than 7–8 hours per night—which was roughly half the sample. Crucially, performance was not impaired evenly across all cognitive domains. Typical sleep duration had no bearing on short-term memory performance, unlike reasoning and verbal skills, which were impaired by too little, or too much, sleep. In terms of overall cognition, a self-reported typical sleep duration of 4 hours per night was equivalent to aging 8 years. Also, sleeping more than usual the night before testing (closer to the optimal amount) was associated with better performance, suggesting that a single night's sleep can benefit cognition. The relationship between sleep and cognition was invariant with respect to age, suggesting that the optimal amount of sleep is similar for all adult age groups, and that sleeprelated impairments in cognition affect all ages equally. These findings have significant real-world implications, because many people, including those in positions of responsibility, operate on very little sleep and may suffer from impaired

Principal Component Analysis (PCA w/ Varimax)

Factor 1 "Short Term Memory (STM)"

Factor 2 "Reasoning"

Factor 3 "Verbal Abilities"



Pre-Pandemic Norms (N = 7,832)



Participate in the COVID-19 Brain Study—a global study of how the virus affects cognition

COVID-19 may have direct and indirect effects on the brain. If you have been diagnosed with COVID-19, help world-renowned neuroscientists discover its effects on cognition by signing up for this important global study.

<u>Español</u> | <u>Français</u>

Sign Up Now

covidbrainstudy.com English/French/Spanish

DESIGNED BY RESEARCHERS FROM WESTERN UNIVERSITY, THE UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, AND SUNNYBROOK HEALTH SCIENCES CENTRE



Western The Brain and Mind Institute







Key Questions

Does COVID-19 infection result in significant cognitive impairment? If so, what cognitive domains are most affected?

Is the burden of cognitive impairment greater in those who require ICU stay versus those hospitalized outside the ICU versus those in the community?

Are COVID-19 patients are getting better or worse over time? (3 mo & 1 yr longitudinal follow-up)

Are there interactions with sex, age and medical risk factors that result in greater impact in some populations?



Final Study Sample:



Female Male

Assessing COVID-19 Illness Severity

WHO	Y/N	Question
0	X	Symptoms
	X	Hospital
	\checkmark	Symptoms
1	X	(Not) Daily Routine
	X	Hospital
	\checkmark	Symptoms
2	\checkmark	(Not) Daily Routine
	X	Hospital
	\checkmark	Hospital
3	X	O2 (Hospital)
	X	ICU
	\checkmark	Hospital
4	\checkmark	O2 (Hospital)
	X	ICU
5	\checkmark	Hospital
	\checkmark	ICU
	X	O2 (ICU)
	\checkmark	Hospital
6	\checkmark	ICU
	\checkmark	O2 (ICU)





Results



Increasing COVID-19 severity is strongly associated with subjectively poorer memory ratings. Wild *et al.*, 2022



Results



levels of anxiety and depression.

Increasing COVID severity is strongly associated with subjectively greater

0

5

6

٠



Pre-Pandemic Norms (N = 7,832)



Principal Component Analysis (PCA w/ Varimax)

- Short Term Memory (STM) 1.
 - Reasoning 2.
 - Verbal 3.

Pre-Pandemic Norms (N = 7,832)



COVID + (N = 478)

(Congruence coefficient > 0.95 for three factors)

Pre-Pandemic Norms (N = 7,832)



Three Domain Scores:

- Short Term Memory (STM) 1.
 - Reasoning 2.
 - 3. Verbal

Two Additional Scores:

- Overall (Average) Performance 4.
- **Processing Speed** (faster = better) 5.

Cognitive Performance: COVID+ vs. Norms

 COVID+ participants were significantly impaired relative to pre-pandemic controls on 4/5 cognitive measures.



(error bars are SEMs)

(scores are corrected for age, sex, level of education, socio-economic status, amount of exercise, and drug use)

Other Measures of Health (COVID+)



Two Health Factors:

- **F1**: Overall physical health, including COVID severity
- F2: Mental health & wellness

	10	Questionnaire Scales:
ns_physical	1	WHO COVID Severity
	↑	SF-36 Pain Scale
sical_functioning	↑	SF-36 Physical Functioning
	↑	SF-36 Role limitations (physical)
e_+test	1	SF-36 Energy / Fatigue
	↑	SF-36 Role limitations (emotional)
ity	↑	GAD-2 (anxiety)
	↑	PHQ-2 (depression)
	↑	" back to your baseline ?"
	1	"How would you describe your memory?"

How do health factors relate to demographics?

SD Units



Two distinct, but not mutually exclusive, factors that characterize post COVID-19 syndrome.

How do health factors relate to demographics?







Short-term memory Reasoning Verbal Abilities Processing Speed Overall Cognition





FACTOR CORRELATIONS MENTAL HEALTH SYMPTOMS PHYSICAL SYMPTOMS













Is this just a matter of hospitalisation?





What proportion of participants were "impaired"?



 \approx 737,000 Canadians \approx 107M Worldwide



.....of known COVID-19 cases



Conclusions

- COVID severity.
- between mental health and cognition.
- deficits (rather than mental health impairments).

1. There are two dimensions that describe post-COVID-19 syndrome; one that primarily affects aspects of physical health and is related to COVID severity, and one that primarily affects mental health and is unrelated to

2. Our objective measures of cognition related to the *physical* rather than the mental health symptoms; that is, the worse your physical symptoms, the worse your cognitive outcome, but this was not true of the relationship

3. "Brain Fog"/"Long COVID" relates to physical symptoms and cognitive





Cell Reports Medicine

Article Disentangling the cognitive, physical, and mental health sequelae of COVID-19

Conor J. Wild,^{1,2,9,*} Loretta Norton,^{1,3} David K. Menon,⁴ David A. Ripsman,⁵ Richard H. Swartz,^{6,8} and Adrian M. Owen^{1,2,7,8}

¹Western Institute for Neuroscience, Western University, London, ON N6A 3K7, Canada ²Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, Western University, London, ON N6A 3K7, Canada ³Department of Psychology, King's University College, Western University, London, ON N6A 3K7, Canada ⁴Division of Anaesthesia, Department of Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 2QQ, UK ⁵Faculty of Medicine, Department of Neurology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 2B5, Canada ⁶Department of Medicine (Neurology), Hurvitz Brain Sciences Program, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Center, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON M4N 3M5, Canada

⁷Department of Psychology, Western University, London, ON N6A 3K7, Canada ⁸Senior author

⁹Lead contact

*Correspondence: cwild@uwo.ca https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2022.100750









Acknowledgements



Rick Swartz (Sunnybrook) David Menon (Cambridge, UK) Conor Wild (Western) Loretta Norton (Western) David Ripsman (Ottawa)

owenlab.org

