

The Poul Hansen Family Centre for Depression presents: Clinical Advances in Depression Care Friday September 30, 2022 | Virtual Event

Ketamine for Mood Disorders

Joshua D. Rosenblat, MD, MSc, FRCPC

Staff Psychiatrist, PHFC Depression Centre

Assistant Professor, Department of Psychiatry, University of Toronto



Email: Joshua.rosenblat@uhn.ca

FACULTY/PRESENTER DISCLOSURE

- Faculty: Joshua Rosenblat
- Relationships with financial sponsors:
 - Dr. Joshua D Rosenblat has received research grant support from the Canadian Institute of Health Research (CIHR), Physician Services Inc (PSI) Foundation, Labatt Brain Health Network, Brain and Cognition Discovery Foundation (BCDF), Canadian Cancer Society, Canadian Psychiatric Association, Academic Scholars Award, American Psychiatric Association, American Society of Psychopharmacology, University of Toronto, University Health Network Centre for Mental Health, Joseph M. West Family Memorial Fund and Timeposters Fellowship and industry funding for speaker/consultation/research fees from iGan, Janssen, Allergan, Lundbeck, Sunovion and COMPASS. He is the Chief Medical and Scientific Officer of Braxia Scientific and the medical director of the Canadian Rapid Treatment Centre of Excellence (Braxia Health).

MITIGATING POTENTIAL BIAS

 Dr. Rosenblat has a detailed Risk Mitigation Plan (RMP) developed and approved by an independent group at University Health Network to mitigate potential risk of bias from aforementioned conflicts of interest. The RMP includes detailed disclosures and limitation on his role in research projects involving ketamine and any form of collaboration with organizations he is involved with.

LEARNING OBJECTIVE

- Understand the mechanism of action of ketamine
- Describe the evidence for ketamine's antidepressant effects
- Appreciate key limitations of our knowledge for this emerging treatment

EVOLUTION OF ANTIDEPRESSANTS^{1,2}



*Withdrawn from the Canadian market

5-HT: 5-hydroxytryptamine; MAOI: monoamine oxidase inhibitor; NDRI: norepinephrine-dopamine reuptake inhibitor; RIMA: reversible monoamine oxidase inhibitor; SNRI: selective norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor; SSRI: selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; TCA: tricyclic antidepressant

Lam RW et al. J Affect Disord. 2009; 117(Suppl 1):S26-43;
 Health Canada. Health Product Information. Available at: https://health-products.canada.ca/dpd-bdpp/info.do?lang=en&code=91734.

Beyond Monoamines: FDA Approval for Three Non-Monoaminergic Antidepressants

Esketamine Nasal Spray (glutamate)

- Combination of Dextromethorphan and Bupropion (glutamate)
- Brexanolone (neuro-steroid and GABA-A)

An exciting time for psychiatry!

Ketamine for TRD: Mechanism of Action

- Ketamine is an NMDA antagonist used clinically as an anesthetic agent
- Mechanism of action for antidepressant effects remains controversial, but broadly exerts its effects through modulation of the glutamate system



Niciu et al. Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology. 2014,

TABLE 1. Key pharmacodynamic targets of ketamine and esketamine

| Target | Pharmacodynamic Effect | Potential Clinical Effect ^a |
|--|--|--|
| Glutamate system | | |
| N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptor | Strong antagonist | Antidepressant and procognitive effects; acute dissociative effects |
| α-Amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4- isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) receptor | Indirect agonist (through increase glutamate release) | Antidepressant effects |
| D-Serine site | Antagonist | Antidepressant effects |
| Glutamate | Increased release | Antidepressant effects |
| Opioid system | | |
| μ Opioid receptor | Weak agonist | Antidepressant and analgesic effect and potentially acute euphoric effect |
| μ Opioid 2 receptor | Antagonist | |
| к Opioid receptor | Agonist | |
| δ Opioid receptor | Agonist | |
| Monoamine system | | |
| Serotonin transporter | Weak inhibitor | Antidepressant effect |
| Norepinephrine transporter | Weak inhibitor | Antidepressant effect |
| Dopamine transporter | Weak inhibitor | Antidepressant effect |
| Dopamine 2 receptor | Agonist | Acute psychotomimetic effects |
| Serotonin (5-HT ₃) receptor | Weak antagonist | Antidepressant effect |
| Cholinergic system | | |
| Cholinesterase | Inhibitor | Procognitive effects |
| α7 Nicotinic receptor | Antagonist | Antidepressant effects |
| α4 β2 Nicotinic receptor | Antagonist | |
| Muscarinic receptors (M1-3) | Antagonist | Increased blood pressure and heart rate |
| Other | | |
| σ ₁ Receptor | Agonist | Antidepressant and cardiac effects |
| σ ₂ Receptor | Agonist | Antidepressant and cardiac effects |
| Mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) | Downstream activation via glutamate system | Antidepressant effects |
| Brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) | Downstream from mTOR increasing BDNF levels | Antidepressant and procognitive effects |
| GABA _A receptor | Agonist | Acute anxiolytic effects |
| mTORC1 | Activation | Neuroplastic effects |

^a The clinical significance of specific targets remains unclear, and results have been mixed. Potential proposed clinical effects are synthesized and summarized here.

Table created by Joshua Rosenblat for McIntyre, Rosenblat, et al. Ketamine Guidelines. AJP. 2021.

Synthesizing the Evidence for Ketamine and Esketamine in Treatment-Resistant Depression: An International Expert Opinion on the Available Evidence and Implementation

Roger S. McIntyre, M.D., Joshua D. Rosenblat, M.D., M.Sc., Charles B. Nemeroff, M.D., Ph.D., Gerard Sanacora, M.D., Ph.D., James W. Murrough, M.D., Ph.D., Michael Berk, Ph.D., M.B.B.Ch., Elisa Brietzke, M.D., Ph.D., Seetal Dodd, Ph.D., Philip Gorwood, M.D., Ph.D., Roger Ho, M.D., M.B.B.S., Dan V. Iosifescu, M.D., Carlos Lopez Jaramillo, M.D., Ph.D., Siegfried Kasper, M.D., Kevin Kratiuk, B.Pharm., Jung Goo Lee, M.D., Ph.D., Yena Lee, H.B.Sc., Leanna M.W. Lui, Rodrigo B. Mansur, M.D., Ph.D., George I. Papakostas, M.D., Mehala Subramaniapillai, M.Sc., Michael Thase, M.D., Eduard Vieta, M.D., Ph.D., Allan H. Young, M.Phil., M.B.Ch.B., Carlos A. Zarate, Jr., M.D., Stephen Stahl, M.D., Ph.D.

Replicated international studies have underscored the human and societal costs associated with major depressive disorder. Despite the proven efficacy of monoamine-based antidepressants in major depression, the majority of treated individuals fail to achieve full syndromal and functional recovery with the index and subsequent pharmacological treatments. Ketamine and esketamine represent pharmacologically novel treatment avenues for adults with treatment-resistant depression. In addition to providing hope to affected persons, these agents represent the first nonmonoaminergic agents with proven rapid-onset efficacy in major depressive disorder. Nevertheless, concerns remain about the safety and tolerability of ketamine and esketamine in mood disorders. Moreover, there is uncertainty about the appropriate position of these agents in treatment algorithms, their comparative effectiveness, and the appropriate setting, infrastructure, and personnel required for its competent and safe implementation. In this article, an international group of mood disorder experts provides a synthesis of the literature with respect to the efficacy, safety, and tolerability of ketamine and esketamine in adults with treatment-resistant depression. The authors also provide guidance for the implementation of these agents in clinical practice, with particular attention to practice parameters at point of care. Areas of consensus and future research vistas are discussed.

Am J Psychiatry 2021; 00:1–17; doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2020.20081251

International Ketamine Guidelines (McIntyre, Rosenblat, et al., AJP, 2021)

- Intravenous (IV) ketamine and intranasal (IN) esketamine now have Level 1 evidence for acute efficacy in adults with TRD.
- Clinically relevant adverse effects of ketamine infusions include psychological (e.g., dissociative symptoms) and physical (e.g., hypertension, nausea) changes.
- There is insufficient evidence to routinely recommend other formulations of ketamine (oral, intramuscular, subcutaneous). Oral ketamine has some positive preliminary results.
- Consensus recommendations are given for clinical administration of IV ketamine including patient selection, facility and personnel issues, monitoring requirements, and maintenance of response.

10

Does Route of Administration Matter?

| TABLE 2. | Comparison of | routes of | administration of | ketamine and | esketamine |
|----------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|
|----------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------------|

| Route | Bioavailability | Dose Range (Acute) |
|---------------|--|---|
| Intravenous | 100% | 0.5–1.0 mg/kg infused over 40–60 minutes twice weekly for 2 weeks |
| Intramuscular | 90%–95% | Not established, likely similar to intravenous |
| Subcutaneous | 90%–95% | Not established, likely similar to intravenous |
| Intranasal | 30%–50% (significant differences between devices and solution) | Esketamine: 56–84 mg intranasally twice weekly for 4 weeks Racemic ketamine: 50–150 |
| Oral | 10%–20% (potential variability between capsules and liquid forms) | Highly variable (0.5–7.0 mg/kg daily to once weekly), with 100–250 mg 2–3 times per week most accepted |
| Sublingual | 20%-30% | Not established, likely similar to oral |
| Transdermal | 10%–50% (highly variable by vehicle used) | Not established |

Table created by Joshua Rosenblat for McIntyre, Rosenblat, et al. Ketamine Guidelines. AJP. 2021.

Does Route of Administration Matter?

| TABLE 2. | Comparison of | routes of | administration of | f ketamine and | esketamine |
|----------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|----------------|------------|
|----------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|----------------|------------|

| Route | Bioavailability | Dose Range (Acute) | |
|---------------|--|---|--|
| Intravenous | 100% | • Only IV ketamine a | nd IN esketamine |
| Intramuscular | 90%-95% | are considered evid | dence based |
| Subcutaneous | 90%–95% | options | |
| Intranasal | 30%–50% (significant differences between devices and solution) | Level 1 data suppo large antidepressar ketamine and IN es | rts moderate to nt effects with IV ketamine. |
| Oral | 10%–20% (potential vai between capsules and forms) | • Oral ketamine RCTs results and had crit | s yielded mixed tical sources of |
| Sublingual | 20%-30% | bias identified. | |
| Transdermal | 10%–50% (highly variable vehicle used) | by Not established | |

Table created by Joshua Rosenblat for McIntyre, Rosenblat, et al. Ketamine Guidelines. AJP. 2021.

Meta-Analysis Results Pooling Together 21 RCTs of Ketamine for Depression

| | | Group by | Study name | Statistics for each study | | | | | |
|---------------|-------------------|----------------|---|---------------------------|----------------|----------------|---------|---------|---|
| ledges's g | Standard error | Administration | | Variance | Lower limit | Upper limit | Z-Value | p-Value | |
| 0.516 | 0.176 | Intranasal | Canuso, Signh, Fedgchin, et al 2018 | 0.031 | 0.170 | 0.861 | 2.924 | 0.003 | L |
| 0.368 | 0.172 | Intranasal | Canuso, Singh, Fedgchin, et al 2018 | 0.030 | 0.031 | 0.705 | 2.142 | 0.032 | |
| 1.237 | 0.387 | Intranasal | Daly, Singh, et al 2017 (28mg) | 0.149 | 0.479 | 1.995 | 3.199 | 0.001 | |
| 1.446 | 0.417 | Intranasal | Daly, Singh, et al 2017 (56mg) | 0.174 | 0.630 | 2.263 | 3.472 | 0.001 | |
| 1.637 | 0.429 | Intranasal | Daly, Singh, et al 2017 (84mg) | 0.184 | 0.796 | 2.477 | 3.818 | 0.000 | |
| 0.481 | 0.100 | Intranasal | Fedgchin, Trivedi, Daly, et al 2019 (56mg) | 0.010 | 0.286 | 0.676 | 4.828 | 0.000 | |
| 0.501 | 0.106 | Intranasal | Fedgchin, Trivedi, Daly, et al 2019 (84mg) | 0.011 | 0.293 | 0.710 | 4.711 | 0.000 | |
| 1.001 | 0.389 | Intranasal | Lapidus, Levitch, et al 2015 | 0.152 | 0.237 | 1.764 | 2.570 | 0.010 | |
| 0.667 | 0.110 | Intranasal | | 0.012 | 0.451 | 0.882 | 6.064 | 0.000 | |
| 1.793 | 0.583 | Intravenous | Berman, Capplello, Anand, et al 2000 | 0.340 | 0.651 | 2,936 | 3.077 | 0.002 | |
| 0.361 | 0.327 | Intravenous | Chen, LI, Lin, et al 2018 (0.2mg) | 0.107 | -0.280 | 1.002 | 1.104 | 0.269 | |
| 0.952 | 0.394 | Intravenous | Chen, LI, Lin, et al 2018 (0.5mg) | 0.155 | 0.180 | 1.725 | 2.416 | 0.016 | |
| 0.542 | 0.252 | Intravenous | Diazgranados, Ibrahim, Brutsche, et al 2010 | 0.063 | 0.049 | 1.035 | 2.154 | 0.031 | |
| 0.237 | 0.218 | Intravenous | Fan, Yang, Sun, et al 2017 | 0.048 | -0.190 | 0.665 | 1.087 | 0.277 | |
| 0.130 | 0.226 | Intravenous | Fava, Freeman, Flynn, et al 2018 (0.1mg) | 0.051 | -0.314 | 0.573 | 0.573 | 0.566 | |
| 0.036 | 0.215 | Intravenous | Fava, Freeman, Flynn, et al 2018 (0.2mg) | 0.046 | -0.385 | 0.457 | 0.167 | 0.867 | |
| 0.197 | 0.208 | Intravenous | Fava, Freeman, Flynn, et al 2018 (0.5mg) | 0.043 | -0.210 | 0.604 | 0.948 | 0.343 | |
| 0.132 | 0.216 | Intravenous | Fava, Freeman, Flynn, et al 2018 (1mg) | 0.047 | -0.291 | 0.555 | 0.611 | 0.541 | |
| 0.455 | 0.283 | Intravenous | Hu, Xlang, Fang, et al 2016 | 0.080 | -0.100 | 1.011 | 1.608 | 0.108 | |
| 0.333 | 0.216 | Intravenous | Ibrahlm, Diazgranados, et al 2012 | 0.047 | -0.091 | 0.757 | 1.541 | 0.123 | |
| 0.544 | 0.158 | Intravenous | Murrough, losifescu, Chang, et al 2013 | 0.025 | 0.235 | 0.853 | 3.448 | 0.001 | |
| 0.377 | 0.279 | Intravenous | Murrough, Soleimani, DeWilde, et al 2015 | 0.078 | -0.170 | 0.925 | 1.350 | 0.177 | |
| 0.183 | 0.151 | Intravenous | Phillips, Norris, Talbot, et al 2019 | 0.023 | -0.113 | 0.479 | 1.214 | 0.225 | |
| 1.598 | 0.440 | Intravenous | Singh, Fedgchin, Daly, 2016 (0.4mg) | 0.194 | 0.736 | 2.461 | 3.633 | 0.000 | |
| 1.686 | 0.499 | Intravenous | Singh, Fedgchin, Daly, et al 2016 (0.2mg) | 0.249 | 0.709 | 2.663 | 3.382 | 0.001 | |
| 0.794 | 0.354 | Intravenous | Sos, Kilrova, Novak, et al 2013 | 0.126 | 0.099 | 1.488 | 2.239 | 0.025 | |
| 0.267 | 0.201 | Intravenous | Su, Chen, LI et al 2017 0.5mg | 0.040 | -0.127 | 0.662 | 1.328 | 0.184 | |
| 0.226 | 0.204 | Intravenous | Su, Chen, LI, et al 2017 0.2mg | 0.042 | -0.174 | 0.626 | 1.108 | 0.268 | |
| 0.514 | 0.242 | Intravenous | Zarate, 2006 | 0.059 | 0.039 | 0.989 | 2.120 | 0.034 | |
| 0.406 | 0.074 | Intravenous | | 0.005 | 0.261 | 0.552 | 5.482 | 0.000 | |
| 0.749 | 0.174 | Oral | Arabzadeh et al 2018 | 0.030 | 0.407 | 1.091 | 4.294 | 0.000 | |
| 0.471 | 0.218 | Oral | Domany, Bleich-Cohen, et al 2019 | 0.047 | 0.044 | 0.898 | 2.162 | 0.031 | |
| 0.398 | 0.224 | Oral | Jafarinia et al 2016 | 0.050 | -0.040 | 0.837 | 1.781 | 0.075 | |
| 0.556 | 0.169 | Oral | | 0.029 | 0.224 | 0.887 | 3.285 | 0.001 | |
| 0.529 | 0.102 | Overall | | 0.010 | 0.328 | 0.729 | 5.169 | 0.000 | |



McIntyre,...,Rosenblat. JAD. 2020.



-2.50

-5.00

5.00

Meta-Analysis Results Pooling Together 21 RCTs of Ketamine for Depression

21 RCTs demonstrated robust & rapid antidepressant effects in TRD (p<0.0001)
Level 1 data supports moderate to large antidepressant effects with IV ketamine and IN esketamine.

• Evidence supports anti-suicidality effects



Ketamine for Bipolar Depression

- Appears to be especially promising and effective with low risk for treatment emergent mania or psychosis based on preliminary results
- Pilot findings used to obtain two grants:
 - Repeated Ketamine Infusions for Treatment-Resistant Bipolar Depression: A Randomized, Midazolam-Controlled Clinical Trial (Funded by CIHR; 2021-2024)

Maintenance Ketamine Infusions for Treatment-Resistant Bipolar Depression (Funded by PSI; 2021-2024)

Real-world effectiveness of ketamine in treatmentresistant depression: A systematic review & metaanalysis (k=79)



Real world effectiveness of IV ketamine was comparable to observed efficacy in RCTs, suggesting that previous RCT findings are likely generalizable to clinical practice in a difficult to treat population, including more resistant and complex subgroups

Ketamine Decreases Suicidal Thoughts (mood-independent effect)

A. Clinician-Administered Measures



Evidence for IN Esketamine

Figure 1. Forest Plot of Standardized Mean Difference (SMD) in Change in Primary Outcome Scores Between Adjunctive Esketamine (EK) and Placebo



Papakostas et al., 2020

Evidence for IN Esketamine

| Table 2. Primary and Exploratory Meta-Analyses | | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| | Intranasal Esketamine Augmentation | Intranasal Placebo Augmentation | Estimate (SMD or RR) | <i>P</i> Value | | |
| Total pooled sample | | | | | | |
| Sample size SMD | 442 | 332 | 0.36 | <.0001 | | |
| Response (%) Remission (%) | 53.2 38.5 | 36.4 24.7 | 1.4 1.45 | <.0001 <.0001 | | |
| TRD subjects only (without Canuso et al ²⁵) | | | | | | |
| Sample size SMD Response (%) | 407 50.2 | 301 31.3 | 0.37 1.41 | <.0001 | | |
| Remission (%) | 35.8 | 22.2 | 1.45 | <.0001 | | |
| New/optimized antidepressant sample (without Daly et al ¹⁵) | | | | | | |
| Sample size SMD, day 28 | 408 | 299 | 0.30 | <.0001 | | |
| Response (%), day 28 Remission (%), day 28 | 55.1 40 | 39.8 27 | 1.38 1.42 | <.0001 <.0001 | | |

53% Response and 39% Remission Rate for TRD

Abbreviations: RR = risk ratio, SMD = standardized mean difference,

TRD = treatment-resistant depression.

Papakostas et al., 2020

Sustained Antidepressant Effects With Repeated Doses of Intranasal Esketamine

Figure 3. MADRS Total Score: Mean Change in Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) Total Score From Baseline to Follow-up End Point for Participants Who Entered the Open-Label Phase



Period 1 (days 1-8), period 2 (days 8-15), open-label period (days 15-74), and the follow-up period (days 74-130) are discussed in the Design section of the Methods and shown in the vertical axis of Figure 1. BL indicates baseline; error bars, SE.

Daly et al. JAMA Psychiatry. 2018;75(2):139-148.



>100 ongoing clinical trials assessing the use of ketamine and esketamine in psychiatry

Pevoreh,..., Rosenblat. J Psyc Research. 2020

What is the safety and tolerability profile of ketamine for depression?



| Side Effect | During Infusion n(%) | After Infusion n(%) |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| Nausea | 47 (13.9) | 38 (11.1) |
| Vomiting | 1 (0.29) | 8 (2.50) |
| Dizziness | 153 (48.2) | 152 (49.2) |
| Headache | 42 (13.2) | 59 (19.3) |
| Double Vision | 69 (20.8) | 58 (18.8) |
| Blurred Vision | 105 (31.9) | 92 (29.8) |
| Drowsiness | 184 (57.1) | 164 (53.1) |
| Confusion | 141 (43.5) | 78 (25.1) |
| Jerky muscle movements | 18 (5.42) | 7 (2.3) |
| Depersonalization | 113 (38.2) | 54 (17.6) |
| Derealization | 119 (40.8) | 51 (16.7) |

Rodrigues...Rosenblat, 2021



McIntyre, Rodrigues...Rosenblat, 2021

Dissociation Poorly Predicts Response

Key point: You do NOT need to dissociate to have antidepressant effects. Antidepressant effects do NOT rely on a dissociative epiphany or insight to gain benefits. This is a very common misconception.

How About Ketamine Assisted Psychotherapy (KAP)?

- > 24 RCTs support the use of ketamine for TRD
- ZERO published RCTs support the use of KAP for any indication
- Lack of research does not mean it is ineffective
- A couple case series on KAP, however, unable to say if the therapy added any benefit
- Off label KAP is problematic as it is not evidence-based and inappropriately draws focus to the dissociative episode
- Dissociative symptoms are NOT predictive of antidepressant effects. Focusing on producing a dissociative experience can lead to inappropriate doses of ketamine when targeting dissociation rather than antidepressant effects

Current Ketamine Projects Underway

- Acute treatment of TRBD RCT (n=100)
- Maintenance IV ketamine for BD (n=50)
- Ketamine+CBT for suicidality (n=140)
- PGx predictors or response and adverse effects (n=100)
- Evaluation of switch protocols from different routes of administration (n=200)
- Ketamine versus ECT for TRD (n=240)
- Comparative efficacy of different routes of administration (observational)
- Three psilocybin trials

Summary

- IV ketamine and IN esketamine have strong evidence for rapid and robust antidepressant and anti-SI effects in TRD with many more ongoing trials
- Numerous difficult to treat subgroups have real world evidence to support effectiveness
- Careful patient selection and infrastructure are essential with evolving considerations
- Depression Centre has several active and upcoming ketamine trials (refer to Depression Centre attention Joshua Rosenblat)

Acknowledgements

Funding

- CIHR, PSI, BCDF, Labatt, Academic Scholars Award, Canadian Cancer Society, Joseph M. West Family Memorial Fund, Timeposters Fellowship
- Mentor: Dr. Roger McIntyre
- Research Staff
 - ▶ Yena Lee
 - Nelson Rodrigues
 - Orly Lipsitz
 - Flora Nasri
 - Saja Jaberi
 - Shakila Meshkat

Students

- Farhan Fancy
- Sipan Haikazian
- Danica Johnson
- David Chen-Li
- Josh Di Vencenzo
- 🕨 Hana Nazel
- Bahareh Peyvori
- 🕨 Leanna Lui
- Ashley Segal



The Poul Hansen Family Centre for Depression presents: Clinical Advances in Depression Care Friday September 30, 2022 | Virtual Event

Ketamine for Mood Disorders

Joshua D. Rosenblat, MD, MSc, FRCPC

Staff Psychiatrist, PHFC Depression Centre

Assistant Professor, Department of Psychiatry, University of Toronto



Email: Joshua.rosenblat@uhn.ca